

Jason M. Drangel (JD 7204)
jdrangel@ipcounselors.com
Ashly E. Sands (AS 7715)
asands@ipcounselors.com
Brienne Scully (BS 3711)
bscully@ipcounselors.com
Danielle S. Yamali (DY 4228)
dfutterman@ipcounselors.com
EPSTEIN DRANGEL LLP
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 2520
New York, NY 10165
Telephone: (212) 292-5390
Facsimile: (212) 292-5391
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Palace Skateboards Group and
GSLT Holdings Limited

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 5/26/2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PALACE SKATEBOARDS GROUP and GSLT
HOLDINGS LIMITED,

Plaintiffs

v.

AIMEEY, ALZTECH, CONG~690, DENNIS AHO,
DGVH~56, ERIC GANDY, FGFH~456, FUBA246,
GITROSTORE, GULF_COAST_BOUND,
HUANGGUANGHAI3221,
HUANGRUIXIAN65812, HUANGZHILONGER,
JIAYIMNEI, KENGKENG, LINDSAYYYA, LOOM,
MUK63211, NEW YEAH, NIKITAMODA, OBD
HOME LIVING MUSEUM, SHENPOHUANG21,
STAR'S OCEAN CLOTHING MALL,
VANGCHENGYUN, WORLD WE, XEE82293,
XIEZHIWEI, XIONGHOU DAMIYA BETTER and
ZHUSHIHAO62923,






Defendants

21-cv-3951 (GHW)

**PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION ORDER**

GLOSSARY

Term	Definition
Palace	Palace Skateboards Group
GSLT	GSLT Holdings Limited
Plaintiffs	Palace and GSLT
Defendants	Aimeey, Alztech, cong~690, Dennis Aho, dgvh~56, Eric Gandy, fgfh~456, fuba246, GitroStore, gulf_coast bound, huangguanghai3221, huangruixian65812, Huangzhilonger, Jiayimnei, kengkeng, Lindsayyya, Loom, muk63211, New yeah, nikitamoda, OBD Home living museum, shenpohuang21, Star's ocean clothing mall, Vangchengyun, World we, xee82293, XIEZHIWEI, Xionghou Damiya Better and zhushihao62923
Wish	Wish.com, a San Francisco, California-based, online marketplace and e-commerce platform owned by ContextLogic, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“ContextLogic”), that allows manufacturers and other third-party merchants, like Defendants, to advertise, distribute, offer for sale, sell and ship their retail products, which, upon information and belief, primarily originate from China, directly to consumers worldwide and specifically to consumers residing in the U.S., including New York
Epstein Drangel	Epstein Drangel LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs
New York Address	244 Madison Ave, Suite 411, New York, NY 10016
Complaint	Plaintiffs’ Complaint
Application	Plaintiffs’ <i>Ex Parte</i> Application for: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts (as defined <i>infra</i>) and Defendants’ Assets (as defined <i>infra</i>) with the Financial Institutions (as defined <i>infra</i>); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery
Hussey Dec.	Declaration of Thomas Hussey in Support of Plaintiffs’ Application
Drangel Dec.	Declaration of Jason M. Drangel in Support of Plaintiffs’ Application
Palace Products	A London-based skateboard shop and clothing brand, which focuses on skate wear with heavy 1990s and pop culture influences alongside VHS style marketing found online or in Palace’s four international stores, including, London, Los Angeles, New York and Tokyo, where it releases new products every Friday morning across its Spring/Summer/Autumn/Winter/Holiday seasons
Palace Marks	U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 5,225,520 for “PALACE” for goods in Classes 18, 25, 28 and 35;

	<p>5,197,782 for “PALACE SKATEBOARDS” for goods</p>  <p>in Classes 28 and 35; 4,762,471 for “ ” for goods in Classes 18, 25 and 28; 6,055,983 for “</p>  <p>” for goods in Class 25; 5,711,377</p>  <p>for “ ” for goods in Class 25;</p>  <p>5,220,519 for “ ” for goods in Classes 18, 25, 28 and 35; 6,254,169 for “PALACE” for goods in Classes 35 and 41; and 6,254,168 for “</p>  <p>” for goods in Classes 35 and 41</p>
Counterfeit Products	Products bearing or used in connection with the Palace Marks, and/or products in packaging and/or containing labels bearing the Palace Marks, and/or bearing or used in connection with marks that are confusingly similar to the Palace Marks and/or products that are identical or confusingly similar to the Palace Products
Infringing Listings	Defendants’ listings for Counterfeit Products
User Accounts	Any and all websites and any and all accounts with online marketplace platforms such as Wish, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them
Merchant Storefronts	Any and all User Accounts through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants

	and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them operate storefronts to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products, which are held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them
Defendants' Assets	Any and all money, securities or other property or assets of Defendants (whether said assets are located in the U.S. or abroad)
Defendants' Financial Accounts	Any and all financial accounts associated with or utilized by any Defendants or any Defendants' User Accounts or Merchant Storefront(s) (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad)
Financial Institutions	Any banks, financial institutions, credit card companies and payment processing agencies, such as ContextLogic, PayPal Inc. ("PayPal"), Payoneer Inc. ("Payoneer"), PingPong Global Solutions, Inc. ("PingPong") and other companies or agencies that engage in the processing or transfer of money and/or real or personal property of Defendants
Third Party Service Providers	Online marketplace platforms, including, without limitation, those owned and operated, directly or indirectly, by ContextLogic, such as Wish, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered online marketplace platforms and/or entities through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products which are hereinafter identified as a result of any order entered in this action, or otherwise

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs moved *ex parte* on May 4, 2021 against Defendants for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts and Defendants' Assets with the Financial Institutions; 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery;

WHEREAS, the Court entered an Order granting Plaintiffs' Application on May 11, 2021 ("TRO") which ordered Defendants to appear on May 24, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue ("Show Cause Hearing");

WHEREAS, on May 17, 2021, pursuant to the alternative methods of service authorized by the TRO, Plaintiffs served the Summons, Complaint, TRO and all papers filed in support of the Application on each and every Defendant except Defendants Alztech and GitroStore;

WHEREAS, on May 24, 2021 at 9:00 a.m., Plaintiffs appeared at the Show Cause Hearing, however, only Defendant Loom appeared.

ORDER

1. The injunctive relief previously granted in the TRO shall remain in place through the pendency of this litigation, and issuing this Order is warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and Section 34 of the Lanham Act.
 - a) Accordingly, Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the final hearing and determination of this action or until further order of the Court:
 - i. manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products or any other products bearing the Palace Marks and/or

marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of the Palace Marks;

- ii. directly or indirectly infringing in any manner Plaintiffs' Palace Marks;
- iii. using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of Plaintiffs' Palace Marks to identify any goods or service not authorized by Plaintiffs;
- iv. using Plaintiffs' Palace Marks or any other marks that are confusingly similar to the Palace Marks on or in connection with Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products;
- v. using any false designation of origin or false description, or engaging in any action which is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake and/or to deceive members of the trade and/or the public as to the affiliation, connection or association of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendants with Plaintiffs, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendants and Defendants' commercial activities and Plaintiffs;
- vi. secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with: (i) Counterfeit Products and/or (ii) any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to their User Accounts, Merchant Storefronts or Defendants' Assets and the manufacture, importation, exportation, advertising, marketing,

promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products;

- vii. effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or creating and/or utilizing any other platform, User Account, Merchant Storefront or any other means of importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order; and
- viii. knowingly instructing any other person or business entity to engage in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs 1(a)(i) through 1(a)(vii) above and 1(b)(i) through 1(b)(ii) and 1(c)(i) below.

b) The Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the final hearing and determination of this action or until further order of the Court:

- i. secreting, concealing, transferring, disposing of, withdrawing, encumbering or paying Defendants' Assets from or to Defendants' Financial Accounts until further ordered by this Court;
- ii. secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to Defendants' Assets and Defendants' Financial Accounts; and

- iii. knowingly instructing any other person or business entity to engage in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs 1(a)(i) through 1(a)(vii) and 1(b)(i) through 1(b)(ii) above.
- c) The Third Party Service Providers are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the final hearing and determination of this action or until further order of the Court:
- i. with the exception of Defendant Loom, within five (5) days after receipt of service of this Order, providing services to Defendants, Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, without limitation, continued operation of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and, with respect to Defendant Loom only, providing services to Defendant Loom's User Account and Defendant Loom's Merchant Storefront insofar as they are connected to the Counterfeit Products; and
 - ii. knowingly instructing any other person or business entity to engage in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs 1(a)(i) through 1(a)(vii), 1(b)(i) through 1(b)(ii) and 1(c)(i) above.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 64 and 65 and N.Y. C.P.L.R. 6201 and this Court's inherent equitable power to issue provisional remedies ancillary to its authority to provide final equitable relief, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Financial Institutions shall locate and attach Defendants' Financial Accounts and shall provide written confirmation of such attachment to Plaintiffs' counsel.
3. As sufficient cause has been shown, the expedited discovery previously granted in the TRO

shall remain in place through the pendency of this litigation, including that:

- a) Plaintiffs may serve interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as well as Local Civil Rule 33.3 of the Local Rules for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and Defendants who are served with this Order shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- b) Plaintiffs may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendants who are served with this Order shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- c) Within fourteen (14) days after receiving actual notice of this Order, all Financial Institutions who are served with this Order shall provide Plaintiffs' counsel with all documents and records in their possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad), relating to any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
 - i. account numbers;
 - ii. current account balances;
 - iii. any and all identifying information for Defendants and Defendants' User Accounts, including names, addresses and contact information;
 - iv. any and all account opening documents and records, including, but not limited to, account applications, signature cards, identification documents, and if a business entity, any and all business documents provided for the opening of each and every of Defendants' Financial Accounts;

- v. any and all deposits and withdrawals during the previous year from each and every of Defendants' Financial Accounts and any and all supporting documentation, including, but not limited to, deposit slips, withdrawal slips, cancelled checks and account statements; and
 - vi. any and all wire transfers into each and every of Defendants' Financial Accounts during the previous year, including, but not limited to, documents sufficient to show the identity of the destination of the transferred funds, the identity of the beneficiary's bank and the beneficiary's account number;
- d) Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Third Party Service Providers shall provide to Plaintiffs' counsel all documents and records in its possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
 - i. any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and account details, including, without limitation, identifying information and account numbers for any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts that Defendants have ever had and/or currently maintain with the Third Party Service Providers that were not previously provided;
 - ii. the identities, location and contact information, including any and all e-mail addresses of Defendants that were not previously provided;
 - iii. the nature of Defendants' businesses and operations, methods of payment, methods for accepting payment and any and all financial information, including, but not limited to, information associated with Defendants' User Accounts and

Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, a full accounting of Defendants' sales history and listing history under such accounts and Defendants' Financial Accounts with any and all Financial Institutions associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts; and

- iv. Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale and/or selling of Counterfeit Products, or any other products bearing the Palace Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute an infringement of the Palace Marks.

- 4. As sufficient cause has been shown, and pursuant to FRCP 4(f)(3), service may be made on, and shall be deemed effective as to Defendants if it is completed by one of the following means:

- a) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, and (ii) a link to a secure website (including NutStore, a large mail link created through Rmail.com and via website publication through a specific page dedicated to this Lawsuit accessible through ipcounselorslawsuit.com) where each Defendant will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order to Defendants' e-mail addresses to be determined after having been identified by ContextLogic pursuant to **Paragraph V(C)** of the TRO.

- 5. As sufficient cause has been shown, that such alternative service by electronic means ordered in the TRO and herein shall be deemed effective as to Defendants, Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions through the pendency of this action.
- 6. As sufficient cause has been shown, service of this Order shall be made on and deemed effective as to the Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions if it is completed

by the following means:


- a) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, and (ii) a link to a secure website where PayPal Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to PayPal Legal Specialist at EEOMALegalSpecialist@paypal.com;
- b) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, and (ii) a link to a secure website where ContextLogic, Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to ContextLogic Inc.'s counsel, Dwight Lueck, at Dwight.Lueck@btlaw.com, Brittany Smith, at Brittany.Smith@btlaw.com, and Rocky Cislak, at Rocky.Cislak@btlaw.com;
- c) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, and (ii) a link to a secure website where Payoneer Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to Payoneer Inc.'s Customer Service Management at customerservicemanager@payoneer.com and Edward Tulin, counsel for Payoneer Inc., at Edward.Tulin@skadden.com; and
- d) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, and (ii) a link to a secure website where PingPong Global Solutions Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to PingPong Global Solutions Inc.'s Legal Department legal@pingpongx.com.

7. Defendants are hereby given notice that they may be deemed to have actual notice of the terms of this Order and any act by them or anyone of them in violation of this Order may be considered and prosecuted as in contempt of this Court.

8. The \$5,000.00 bond posted by Plaintiffs shall remain with the Court until a final disposition of this case or until this Order is terminated.
9. This Order shall remain in effect during the pendency of this action, or until further order of the Court.
10. Any Defendants that are subject to this Order may appear and move to dissolve or modify the Order on two (2) days' notice to Plaintiffs or on shorter notice as set by the Court.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 25th day of May, 2021.
New York, New York



HON. GREGORY H. WOODS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE